

How the Speaker's Redistricting Proposal (ACA 1) Differs from Other proposals

- **Fairest criteria** -- Only ACA 1 ensures that communities of interests will not be divided based on artificial boundaries (i.e. city/county boundaries) or criteria (i.e. shape ["pretty"]). Only ACA 1 requires compliance with the Voting Rights Act and contains additional language to ensure that it continues to be enforced fairly.
- **Strongest diversity protections** -- ACA 1 has the largest commission, allowing for more diversity. (SCA 9 is the only other proposal that requires diversity be considering during each step of the selection process).
- **Strongest public input provisions** -- *Only* ACA 1 requires public input during the commission selection process, starts the commission in the fall of the zero year to allow for more public input, and requires public hearings before the release of any census data.
- **Does not penalize Democrats for embracing reform** -- Democrats are 61% of legislature, 44% of registered voters and only 36% of the other commissions. ACA's commission is 41 percent Democrats and Republicans are 41 percent.
- **Greatest flexibility for the future** -- Unlike the other legislative proposals, creates a process where problems can be fixed without going back to the voters.
- **Strongest checks and balances** -- It includes strong provisions against any plan that favors incumbents or favors one party.
- **Proven to Work** -- Arizona is the only state with anything similar to the screening process used to select the entire commission in the other proposals, and they are still in court.